SNAMP Quarterly Meeting – Q1 2010

Agenda

Date and time: Wednesday, April 28, 2010 1:00- 2:30 pm
Call in number: 1-866-737-4067 Passcode: 4378397

Meeting Purpose:

- Provide direct communication between UCST and MOUP on a quarterly basis, as committed.

Desired Outcomes:

- Shared understanding of the status and issues of agenda items; seek feedback from UCST and MOUP; and identify next steps as needed.
- Report progress of UC Science Team.

1. 2010 Budget 1:05 - 1:25pm (Battles, Dept. of Resources / DWR rep)

a. Review current status. State funding for 2010 Spatial Team budget is under review by DWR.

b. Updates from state partners, identify next steps to secure adequate funding.

c. Discuss implications of leveraged research projects on SNAMP future. In particular, the California Energy Commission's efforts to understand the sustainability of forest biomass utilization are very dependent on the SNAMP infrastructure.

2. Timeline updates on Sugar Pine and Last Chance Projects 1:25 - 1:35pm (District Rangers/ Reps)

3. Discuss impact of recent legal challenges to USFS forest management on SNAMP 1:35 – 1:45 (USFS rep)

Discuss what will happen to SNAMP if the Sierra Forest Legacy movement for an injunction is approved.

4. Proposal to add a third year to treatments in Sugar Pine 1:45 – 1:55pm (Martin, Huber)

a. Recap request by Bass Lake that UCST select areas in Sugar Pine for a third year of treatments.

b.Science Team's response (UCST letter distributed by Mike Chapel to MOUP on April 2).

- Seek feedback from MOUP (including Bass Lake Ranger District), and shared understanding within MOUP of potential consequences of three years of treatments to the length and expense of SNAMP.

5. Plans for Integration Team (IT) meeting for 2010 1:55-2:05pm (Rodrigues)

Report on the current plans for the IT group; seek input.

6. UCST research updates 2:05 – 2:15pm (Huber)

a. Brief summary of work completed and new research findings since last reported in January (see attached).

b. Reminder that SNAMP study areas are expanded for Fisher and Owl Teams, and discuss application of the Statement of Neutrality to all SNAMP study areas.

7. Review recent challenges to UCST neutrality 2:15 **–** 2:25 (Huber)

a. Nature of the challenges

b. UCST response

8. Discuss proposed PI additions 2:25 – 2:30 (Huber)

UCST has proposed to add Dr. Rick Sweitzer (SNAMP) as a PI on the Fisher Team and Dr. Zach Peery (University of Minnesota) as a PI on the Owl Team.